Date of revision: 15.6.2012

# 1. INTRODUCTION

#### PROJECT SUMMARY

Construction year: 1953 Energy renovation: 2009 No past energy renovations

#### SPECIAL FEATURES

Insulation of thermal envelope using alternative methods - Energy saving ligting - Solar collectors - Energy efficient windows – Daylighting - Automatically controlled natural ventilation

## MAIN CONSULTANT

Wissenberg A/S

## **ARCHITECT**

Tegnestuen T-plus

#### **ELECTRICAL CONSULTANT**

PME Elrådgivning A/S

#### **PARTNERS**

Danfoss A/S, Louis Poulsen Lighting A/S, Osram A/S, Pilkington Denmark A/S, Rockwool A/S, VELFAC A/S, VELUX A/S & WindowMaster A/S

#### **OWNER**

City of Copenhagen

Brochure authors: Jørgen Rose and Kirsten Engelund Thomsen
Danish Building Research Institute, AAU
Contact: jro@sbi.aau.dk

# Osram Culture Centre – Copenhagen, Denmark

Valhalsgade 4, 2200 Copenhagen N







IEA - SHC Task 47

Renovation of Non-Residential Buildings towards Sustainable Standards



#### 2. CONTEXT AND BACKGROUND

#### **BACKGROUND**

Built in 1953 as an industrial building. It was the first prefabricated house in Copenhagen. Built as an office and warehouse for Nordisk Glødelampe Industri A/S.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE RENOVATION**

- •To energy renovate a former industrial building, now in use as Culture Centre by utilizing daylight, mechanical and natural ventilation to improve the indoor climate.
- •To minimise energy consumption by improvement of thermal envelope and utilizing energy saving lighting.
- •The target is to minimize the resources required (and, consequently, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission) both during construction and upkeep.

#### SUMMARY OF THE RENOVATION


- •A more appropriate lay-out of the ground- and first floor.
- •Penetration of the ground floor ceiling creates double room height in part of the entrance and improves daylight.
- •Indoor walls with glazing in the upper part, avoiding glimpsing, but improving daylight.
- •Roof windows are installed above the great hall, offices and hallway on first floor fitted with electrically operated sun screening and opening devices for natural ventilation.
- •Inside insulation of protected façade on ground floor using floor to ceiling energy glass.
- •Inside insulation of protected façade on the first floor using mineral wool and plaster boards.
- Outside insulation of façade towards the garden using mineral wool and hard pressed mineral cover plates.
- •New thin frame windows with energy glass.
- •Changing single glass to double energy glass in the original teak wood main entrance.
- •Mechanical ventilation with highly efficient heat recovery.
- •Low energy lighting.
- •LED lighting for decorative purposes and to make the visible in the neighbourhood.
- •Improved access for disabled people.
- •Primary energy: 288 kWh/m² pr. year before renovation, 153 kWh/m² pr. year after, i.e. a total reduction 47%.
- •Cost: 11 mio. DKK (1.5 mio. €)



Façade facing garden - Before renovation




Façade facing garden - After renovation



## First floor



## Ground floor



Sections



# 3. DECISION MAKING PROCESSES

#### WHY RENOVATION

As a part of Neighborhood Development Project in a former semi industrial area of Copenhagen, the City of Copenhagen initiated an energy renovation of the cultural center "OSRAM". The intention was to create a significant cultural base in the neighborhood and to use the energy project as an example and inspiration in the local community. The daily users and the local administration of "OSRAM" were deeply involved in the programming of the renovation project.

In connection with the Climate Change Conference (COP15 2009), the City of Copenhagen was initiated strategic cooperation with a number of Danish enterprises for the purpose of mutual profiling on climate-friendly buildings. The target to minimize the resources required (and, consequently, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission) both during construction and upkeep. The renovation of the culture center "OSRAM" is a part of this Cooperation and is a spearhead for possibilities and methods of renovating old industrial and commercial buildings worth preserving.

#### **PUBLIC FUNDING**

The project received public funding from several institutions and initiatives:

- Københavns Kommune Energipulje (Copenhagen Energy Pool)
- CO<sub>2</sub> neutralitet i eksisterende bydele (Pool for CO<sub>2</sub> neutrality in existing city districts)
- Byfornyelsesmidler
   (Urban renewal funds)
- Tilgængelighedspulje (Accessibility pool)







# 4. THERMAL ENVELOPE

#### **ROOF**

The roof insulation was originally performed with mineral granules later supplemented with batts to a total thickness of 150 mm. The center of the roof had a footbridge with extra 100 mm insulation. No extra insulation was added.

#### **DECK ABOVE THE GATE**

The deck above the gate (to the right of the entrance) was 120 mm concrete.

380 mm's insulation was added on the outside.

#### **WALLS**

The walls are a mix of prefabricated concrete elements, concrete columns and uninsulated brick walls.

380 mm's insulation was added on the inside. The lower part of the back façade was insulated on the outside.

#### WINDOWS/DOORS

The windows in the building ranged from single glass windows with different levels of sashes to standard windows with two layers of glass. The main entrance door had a single layer of glass. The two other entrance doors to the building were relatively new.

All windows were changed to low energy windows except for the façade windows on the ground floor. Here a floorto-ceiling glazing was added on the inside to preserve the expression of the façade. Skylights were installed in roof.

#### SLAB FLOOR AND BASEMENT DECK

Half of the ground floor was a deck construction facing ground. It consisted of 120 mm concrete on 120 mm cinder. The remaining part faces the partially heated basement and consisted of 200 mm reinforced concrete.

No insulation was added to the slab/deck. 100 mm insulation was added to the outside of the foundation.



Cross section of the energy-renovated building.

Construction U-values [W/m²K]	U-value before	U-value after
Roof	0.20 - 0.30	0.20 - 0.30
Deck above the gate	3.90	0.09
Walls	1.65 – 3.73	0.09
Windows	2.70 – 5.90	1.20
Doors	1.00 - 5.20	1.00 – 1.50
Slab floor and basement deck	0.57 – 2.37	0.57 – 2.37



# **5. BUILDING INTERIOR SYSTEM**

#### **HEATING SYSTEM**

The original heating system was based on district heating using steam supply. The heat distribution system was a single pipe system.

The new heating system is based on district heating using hot water supply. The heat distribution system is a two pipe system and thermostat valves have been added to the radiators.

#### **DAYLIGHTING**

In addition to the existing windows the renovated building has an added  $24 \, m^2$  of roof windows. 16 roof windows of 0.66 m x 1.40 m and 12 roof windows 0.66 m x 1.18 m, to increase the amount of daylighting in the building.

#### **VENTILATION**

The original ventilation system was a simple mechanical exhaust system where air was removed from toilets and kitchens.

In the renovated building mechanical ventilation with heat recovery was installed and this was supplemented by natural ventilation via the roof windows. The natural ventilation is controlled by electric motors based on the indoor climate.


#### HOT WATER PRODUCTION

The original system was based on district heating using steam supply.

Solar heating was added to the building to supplement the district heating using hot water supply.

#### PHOTOVOLTAIC PANELS

There are no photovoltaic panels installed yet, but there are plans to add a total area of 4.8 m<sup>2</sup>.



Façade of the renovated building



The old façade is preserved by adding floor-to-ceiling glazing on the inside.



Daylighting and natural ventilation via roof windows.




# **6. ENERGY PERFORMANCES**

# ENERGY CONSUMPTION [kWh/m²]

Energy use [kWh/m²]	Before	After
Electricity for installations	35	32
Electricity for household appliances	10	8
Domestic hot water production (district heating)	18	16
Heating (district heating)	158	37

# ENERGY SAVINGS [kWh/year]

Energy saving measure	Electricity	Heat
Façade insulation		60,000
Windows/doors		70,000
Inside glazing		20,000
Heating system		15,000
Lighting system	9,000	
Controls	500	10,000
Solar panels		6,000
Total	9,500	181,000







The ground floor of the back façade was insulated from the outside.



### 7. ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

# **INDOOR CLIMATE**

The indoor climate of the building was improved significantly by the renovation process. Daylighting levels in the building were raised by introducing roof windows, that would both help raise daylight levels on the first floor and on the ground floor (see pictures).

The insulation of the building envelope along with the installation of new windows increased the thermal comfort in the building. The increase in air tightness and the removal of cold surfaces (windows and walls) have helped to remove draught and general discomfort in the building.

#### **INCREASING QUALITY OF LIFE**

Another important aspect of the building renovation is the improved lay-out of the building and the flexibility with which the building can now be used. The improved indoor climate has also helped to make the entire building area useable.

#### INDOOR AIR QUALITY

The indoor air quality has also improved significantly by the introduction of a combined mechanical and natural ventilation system. The mechanical system has heat recovery and will ventilate the building during winter. When indoor temperatures or CO<sub>2</sub>-levels in the building get too high, the automatic natural ventilation will be initiated (opening of roof windows).

#### LIGHTING QUALITY

The lighting systems in the building have also been improved. The general lighting system has been fitted with automatic control, so that the electric lighting is dependent on daylight levels in the building (there is a manual override to this function).

Also, decorative LED lighting has been added to the window sills in the original façade windows of the building, making it possible to set the scene for any arrangement in the building.





Decorative multi-colored LED-lights were placed in the window sills.



The roof windows have a significant and positive influence on the daylighting level in the building.



#### 8. FURTHER INFORMATION

#### **RENOVATION COSTS**

The total renovation costs (investment total), the part of the investment actually related to energy savings (investment energy), the energy savings per year (savings), the simple payback time (payback time) and the expected CO<sub>2</sub>-emission reductions (CO<sub>2</sub>-reduction) is given in the table.

The total investment for the renovation project was approximately  $564,000 \in$  of which  $212,000 \in$  were directly aimed at energy reductions. The expected total savings per year was  $13,000 \in$ , i.e. resulting in a simple payback time for the entire project of approximately 18 years. This should result in  $CO_2$ -reductions of approximately 29 tons per year.

# OTHER INTERESTING ASPECT

Since summer 2010 the Osram building has had many different events for children, adolescents, families, institutions, schools and other interested parties. It houses both permanent leisure activities for children and young people arrangements and events.

Besides offering cultural events for the local population, the Osram building also rents out halls and rooms for individual and business activities. Many associations, organizations and individuals have already benefited greatly from the many facilities. The house is also geared for companies that want to have meetings, conferences and workshops in the "green" surroundings and offers excellent AV facilities.

Energy saving measure	Investment total [€]	Investment energy [€]	Savings [€/yr]	Payback time [yr]	CO <sub>2</sub> – reduction [kg CO <sub>2</sub> /yr]
Façade insulation	120,267	86,667	3,600	24.1	7,740
Windows/doors	70,933	20,000	4,200	4.8	9,030
Inside glazing	48,000	48,000	1,200	40.0	2,580
Heating system	60,533	13,333	900	14.8	1,935
Lighting system	220,654	26,667	1,968	13.6	5,292
Controls	35,600	6,667	709	9.4	1,584
Solar panels	8,000	6,667	360	18.5	774
Total/mean	563,988	212,000	12,937	17.9	28,935



